

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,678	06/04/2001	Henry Guy Stevens	9281	
75	10/18/2004		EXAMINER	
Martin G Linihan			REDDICK, MARIE L	
Hodgson Russ I One M&T Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Buffalo, NY 14203-2391			1713	
	1		DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(10				
Advisory Action	09/763,678	STEVENS, HENRY	GUY				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Judy M. Reddick	1713					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 05 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee							
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of time may be obtained in the period of extensions and is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mosarned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extended the final Office action; or (ension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) Ithey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) Ithey raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): See Continuation Sheet.							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☑ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.							
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.							
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.							
Claim(s) rejected: 94-116.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE.	ý						
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
0.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation</u> Sheet	, , , _						
		Judy of . Resolut Judy M. Reddick Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1713	r				

4

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) \$09/763,678

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The newly proposed limitation "solid particulate filler" per claims 94, 104 & 105 and "liquefying the bulk of the polymer granules" per claim 109 engenders new Issues (94, 104 & 105) & Issues of New Matter (109) that would necessitate further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection under 35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph items B) and C) of 07/01/04.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of reasons clearly set forth in the previous Office Action (07/01/04).

Continuation of 10. Other: Counsel's arguments (10/05/04) have been acknowledged. However, Counsel's arguments appear to only address the amended claims, as proposed, and not the finally rejected claims.